Broadband Service in Korea

December 10, 2006 Seong Ju KANG



Ministry of Information and Communication



Success Factors

Evolution of Broadband MarketPlace in Korea

1

Strategies of the Gov't

What has been changed and learned?

2006 Public Services Summit





Success Factors



Free Competition

- FSPs, free of regulation, concurrently entered the market setting flat retail charges at a low enough level to induce churning of long-hour dial-up users
- Facilities-based competition, intensified moving up to 'lastone-mile' deploying and upgrading access networks

Urban Geography

- Nearly 48 percent of total households live apartment complexes
 - Economies of scale work sufficiently for FSP's market operation
 - Hanaro Telecom targets Apt. Complexes in the form of fiber
 - More than 90 percent of households, located around the wire centers of Korea Telecom

Success Factors(continued)



Gov't as a promoter

- Early commitment and promotion by the government has given momentum for creating the recognition on the importance of Informatization.
- Funding at the prime rate for the investment into access networks by FSPs in 1999 and 2000

Dialpad, VoIP Service

"VoIP service, combined with the attraction of free call Service, has played a role as a killer application for the Prevalence of broadband service and vice versa."

"IP Telephony and the inter: Republic of Korea Case Study," The 3rd World Telecommunications Policy Forum, ITU, March 2001.



Emphasis on Education

- Broadband seen as family investment in education

Local Manufacturing and Local Content

- Emphasis on R&D(e.g., WiBro)
- High performance IP backbone
- Korean content(e.g., DAUM website) and online games

2006 Public Services Summit

General Pattern of Market Growth







Phase 0 : No broadband service market before July 1998

Phase I : Broadband Internet service, initiated by Thrunet and followed by Hanaro and Korea Telecoms
- seven facilities-based providers (FSPs) by the mid 2000

 Phase II : Facilities-based competition, intensified moving up the 'last-one-mile' deploying and upgrading access networks
- 8.5 million households as of April 2002

Phase III : 16 million households with 20 Mbps by the end of 2006More than 80% of households

2006 Public Services Summit



Phase 0

Problem : Difficulty in spontaneous roll out in the markets

Strategy : *Industry Promotion, Comprehensive National Plan*, initiated by the gov't



Strategy : *Facilities-based competition*, prioritized Opened with no market regulation except Quality of Service(QoS) monitoring

Result : Phase II, moved up

2006 Public Services Summit



Phase II

Problem 1 : FSP's prone to invest in densely populated areas to maximize economies of scale *Facilities duplicated* (waste of national resources)

Problem 2 : The incumbent extended M/S through local loops, while new FSPs has been financially burdened by excessive investment and market promotion *Remote from fair competition*

Strategy : Local Loop Unbundling,

Needs to cool down the overheating of facilities duplication and to guarantee fair competition

2006 Public Services Summit



Phase III and Beyond

Problem : concern about *Widening Digital Divide* FSPs, reluctant to deploy networks in rural areas

Strategy : Facilitating *Public Funding* with prime rate to FSPs

2006 Public Services Summit



Comprehensive National Plan for Korea Information Infrastructure(KII) in stages, set up in March 1995

- Stage I(1995~1997), Stage II(1998~2000), Stage III(2001~5)

Purpose

- KII-Government: Construction of high-capacity backbone
- Kll-Test bed: Furnishing a research environment
- Public Funding Program: lessening burden of FSP's investment in networks

Budget

- \$925 million throughout Stages I and II
- \$1.896 billion, scheduled throughout Stage III
- Total = \$2.829 billion



■ To create market demand for broadband service

Provided an information education to 10 Million People

(housewives, soldiers, students and senior citizens)

• Provided broadband internet access to all 11,000 schools

New lifestyle with Internet

 PC rooms, online games, cyber stock exchange, cyber education, Internet banking etc

Market Feature : Supply Side



Early construction of optical networks and continuous promotion of the Information Society through KII project

- Harmonize Backbone and Access Networks
- Provided broadband Internet access to all schools

Competitive market policy between telcos

- Granted a license to multiple carriers
- Facilities-based competitions between carriers
- Flat-rate & low price system

Certification Program for Premise Network

• Facilitation in-building informatization efforts by endowing buildings & apartments with graded emblems

Loans to service providers to construct high speed access network

Benefits to service providers to construct

What has been changed?



Household Internet Demand

Community activity (72.1%), On-line game (63.8%), On-line banking(54.2%) have been newly increased

Investment as IT Booster

Total amount of investment by 2001 : \$ 4.04 billion Spillover effects : 7.07 ~ \$ 9.46 billion Job creation 4,900 ~ 8,300

Red Devil and the World Cup

Soccer community organized in 1995 with 10 members, now amounting to 0.2 millon

During the World Cup season, Red Devil has led off-net street cheering culminated with 7 million participants

First-mover or Follower



Strategyl: Be a first-mover

less inclined to churn (D-3) preempting the market Procurements costs for related facilities is high High risk if trial and error

Strategy II: Be a follower

Procurements costs may be low and risks may be hedged The market is preocupied by the incumbent

Observation

New entrants are first-movers in Korea and Japan in the form of fiber ADSL

The incumbent, reluctant to be a first-mover, e.g., worrying about substitution between dial-up and broadband services

2006 Public Services Summit



Broadband

Strategy I : New facilities (modem, DSLAM) with existing local loop Strategy II : Replacing it by optic fibers More or less **dependent on Technology**

Mobile

Advances in Technology

- IS-95A/B cdma2000-1x EV-DO EV-DV IMT-2000 Strategy I : Upgrade, using existing networks

Strategy II : Overlay, newly construct

 Investment cost may be saved in the form of overlay, but upgrade is necessary in some phases of migration

Observation

Korea Telecom, although late comer, has caught up other service providers through network extension

What Have Learned





Government Roles in Korean IT





Korea's Journey on Informatization



